
 सत्यमेव जयते	केंद्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील) O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL TAX, केंद्रीय कर भवन, सातवीं मंजिल, पोलिटेकनिक के पास, आम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015	 7 th Floor, GST Building, Near Polytechnic, Ambavadi, Ahmedabad-380015
☎ : 079-26305065	टेलिफैक्स : 079 - 26305136	

9896709901

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(ST)139/Ahd-South/2018-19
Stay Appl.No. /2018-19

ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-0154-2018-19
दिनांक Date : 14-03-2019 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue

27/3/2019

श्री उमा शंकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeals)

ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. CGST/WS08/REF-45/ST/BSM/18-19 दिनांक: 17.08.2018
issued by Assistant Commissioner, Div-VIII, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South

घ अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent
Mobile Commerce Solutions Ltd
Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :
Revision application to Government of India :

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।


(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रक्रिया के दौरान हुई हो।

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।



(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलों में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

(d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रुपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.


सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ-20, न्यू मैन्टल हॉस्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद-380016

(a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

- (3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellate Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

- (4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रु.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

- (5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

- (6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपील के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।


For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute or penalty where penalty alone is in dispute."




ORDER-IN-APPEAL

M/s. Mobile Commerce Solutions having its office at Vodafone House, Prahaladnagar, Off;SG Highway, Ahmedabad (henceforth, "appellant") has filed the present appeal against the Order-in-original No. CGST-/WS-08/Ref-45/ST/BSM/18-19 dated 17.08.2018 (henceforth, "impugned order") issued by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST Division-VIII, Ahmedabad-South (henceforth, "adjudicating authority").

2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that the appellant, a service tax registrant, filed a refund claim on 17.05.2018 under Section 142(5) of the Central Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 for Rs.1,42,18,929/- for non utilized cenvat credit of excess service tax paid by them which was rejected vide impugned order mainly stating that there is no such provisions in GST regime, the claim is time barred.

3. Being aggrieved with the impugned order the appellant preferred this appeal contesting *inter alia*, that the impugned order is issued in violation of principles of natural justice as neither the show cause notice dated 19.07.2018 for rejection of refund was served to them nor any opportunity of personal hearing in the matter was given to them; that the appellant has not forfeited right of filing reply and personal hearing; that in quasi-judicial proceedings, following of principles of natural justice is pre-requisite; that any order passed by authority without giving notice is violative of the of principles of natural justice; that the appellant has rightly claimed refund of excess service tax paid since, there is no provision to transition the same into GST; that certificate from independent chartered accountant certifying that service tax with respect to subject invoices and returns for the period April 2013 to March 2014 are enclosed; that on account of re-negotiation with the customer(i.e. ICICI), credit note was issued on 31.10.2014 for value Rs.13,67,18,123/- involving tax Rs.1,68,98,361; that Rule 6(3) of Cenvat Credit Rules 2004, the appellant is allowed to take credit of such excess service tax paid on account of issuance of credit note; that out of excess service tax paid Rs.1,68,98,361/-, the appellant has made adjustment of Rs.26,79,432/- against the service tax liability of subsequent months, and Rs.1,42,18,929/- were not adjusted and hence refund may be allowed; that excess service tax paid on account of issuance of credit note under Rule 6(3) of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004 cannot be transitioned into GST as per provision of section 140 of the CGST




Act,2017; that excess service tax paid on account of credit note to the customer does not form part of the closing balance of CENVAT credit of the service tax return filed for the period April 2017 to June 2017; that the appellant has correctly filed refund claim under the provisions of Section 142(5) of CGST Act as all the conditions of said provisions are fulfilled; that the provisions of filing of refund application within prescribed time limit is not applicable in the present case as section 11B(2) deals with principle of unjust enrichment and not with time limit of filing refund application; that the service recipient i.e ICICI has reversed the credit with respect to credit note and hence principle of unjust enrichment is not applicable in the present case; that correct disclosures is made in the service tax returns; that the appellant is eligible for interest on delayed refund. Etc.,.

4. In the Personal hearing held on 30.01.2019 Ms. Pooja Shah, CA reiterated the grounds of appeal and explained the case in detail and made additional written submission mentioning legal provisions and judicial precedents on the issue.

5. I have carefully gone through the appeal memorandum and the oral averments made during the course of personal hearing. The issue which requires determination in the case is whether the appellant is entitled for refund under Section 142(5) of the Central Goods and Service Tax Act,2017 of non utilized Cenvat credit of excess service tax paid on account of credit note issued by them to their customer. It is primarily and vigorously pleaded by the appellant that impugned order is issued in violation of principles of natural justice as neither the show cause notice for rejection of refund was served to them before rejection of refund nor any opportunity of personal hearing in the matter was given. It is also stated that they had not forfeited their right of filling reply and personal hearing in the matter, even though the adjudicating authority has issued the impugned order without following the principles of natural justice which is pre-requisite for quasi-judicial authority.


6. I find that the claim was rejected without awarding the appellants the opportunity of being heard. As regards the issue that the appellants were not given any opportunity to present their case personally as per the principle of natural justice; I consider that the adjudication proceedings shall be conducted by observing principles of natural justice. Order passed in violation of the principles of natural justice is liable to be set



aside by Appellate Authority. Natural justice is the essence of fair adjudication, deeply rooted in tradition and conscience, to be ranked as fundamental. The purpose of following the principles of natural justice is the prevention of miscarriage of justice. The first and foremost principle is what is commonly known as *audi alteram partem* rule. It says that no one should be condemned unheard. The Show Cause Notice is the first limb of this principle. In the absence of a notice of the kind and such reasonable opportunity, the order passed becomes wholly vitiated. Thus, it is but essential that a party should be put on notice of the case before any adverse order is passed against him. This is one of the most important principles of natural justice. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has further elaborated the legal position in the case of Siemens Engineering and Manufacturing Co. of India Ltd. v. Union of India and Anr. [AIR 1976 SC 1785], as under: -

" If courts of law are to be replaced by administrative authorities and tribunals, as indeed, in some kinds of cases, with the proliferation of Administrative Law, they may have to be so replaced, it is essential that administrative authorities and tribunals should accord fair and proper hearing to the persons sought to be affected by their orders and give sufficiently clear and explicit reasons in support of the orders made by them. Then alone administrative authorities and tribunals exercising quasi-judicial function will be able to justify their existence and carry credibility with the people by inspiring confidence in the adjudicatory process. The rule requiring reasons to be given in support of an order is, like the principle of audi alteram partem, a basic principle of natural justice which must inform every quasi-judicial process and this rule must be observed in its proper spirit and mere pretence of compliance with it would not satisfy the requirement of law."

7. The adjudicating authority should, therefore, bear in mind that no material should be relied in the adjudication order to support a finding against the interests of the party unless the party has been given an opportunity to rebut that material. Therefore, without going into merit I remand the case back to the adjudicating authority to pass a fresh order ensuring principle of natural justice. The appeal is accordingly allowed.



8. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

उमा शंकर


(उमा शंकर)

केन्द्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Date:

Attested

(D.A. Parmar)
Superintendent
Central Tax (Appeals)
Ahmedabad



By R.P.A.D.

To,

M/s. Mobile Commerce Solutions Limited,
Building 'B', Vodafone House, Corporate Road,
Prahladnagar, Off S G Highway, Ahmedabad-380015.

Copy to:

1. The Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.
2. The Commissioner, SGST, Government of Gujarat, Rajya Kar Bhavan, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad- 380 009.
3. The Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South.
4. The Additional Commissioner, Central Tax (System), Ahmedabad-South.
5. The Asstt./Deputy Commissioner, CGST Division-IV, Ahmedabad-South.
6. Guard File.
7. P.A. File

